


# Hexasilylated Total Carbomer of Benzene\*\*

Chunhai Zou, Carine Duhayon, Valérie Maraval, and Remi Chauvin\*

The total carbomer of benzene, **1b** ( $C_{18}(C_2H)_6$ ), was first evoked in 1995 as an illustration of the general definition of carbomers (Scheme 1).<sup>[1]</sup> At the same time, two reports dealt



**Scheme 1.** The target total carbobenzene **1b**, its silyl-protected analogue **1a**, and their envisioned precursors **2a,b**.

with the synthesis of (partial) ring carbomer of benzene,<sup>[2]</sup> later named simply “carbobenzene” derivatives.<sup>[3]</sup> Whereas carbobenzene itself ( $C_{18}H_6$ ) remains unknown,<sup>[2b]</sup> Ueda and co-workers described the first examples of tri- and hexaaryl derivatives ( $C_{18}R_3Ar_3$ ).<sup>[2a,4]</sup> Since then, all reported carbobenzene derivatives bore aromatic substituents to enhance their stability by radial electron delocalization; however, the possibility of combining aromatic and non-aromatic substituents was also demonstrated, as well as the possibility of the

presence of adjacent nonsubstituted vertices.<sup>[5]</sup> Encouraged by the preparation of a dialkynyl derivative,<sup>[5b]</sup> we envisioned the preparation of the hexaalkynyl carbobenzene **1a**, which is a protected version of the total carbomer **1b** and was studied recently at the level of density functional theory (DFT; Scheme 1).<sup>[6]</sup> The target **1a** is also a skeletal carbomer of hexa(trialkylsilyl)benzenes, such as **1a'**<sup>[7]</sup> and **1a''**.<sup>[8]</sup> Our experience in the synthesis of *n*-oxy[*n*]pericyclynones (*n* = 5, 6)<sup>[9]</sup> led us to tackle the proposed challenge through the “classical” strategy based on the reductive aromatization of the corresponding hexaoxy[6]pericyclynones **2a,b** (Scheme 1).

The selected method relies on an [8+10] ring formation that proved to be efficient for the preparation of other [6]pericyclynones.<sup>[5a]</sup> For the synthesis of the “C<sub>8</sub>” key precursor **10a** (Scheme 2), the trispropargylic alcohol **3** was first prepared by a four-step procedure inspired by a method developed by Diederich and co-workers for the synthesis of an expanded cubane.<sup>[10]</sup>

After selective O methylation of **3**, the magnesium salt of the resulting ether **4** was added to aldehyde **5** to give the tetraynol intermediate **6**. This alcohol was treated directly with  $MnO_2$  to give tetraynone **7** as a mixture with residual triyne **4** (ca. 20%). The mixture was treated with ethynylmagnesium bromide to provide pentayne **8** (compound **4** could be removed easily at this stage). After O methylation of **8**, the selective deprotection of the trimethylsilyl-substituted triple bond in the resulting ether **9** was first attempted by treatment with aqueous 1N NaOH.<sup>[10]</sup> The procedure was not successful in this case (only the fully deprotected product **10b** was obtained), but treatment with  $K_2CO_3$  (0.1 equiv) in methanol for 10 minutes afforded the monodeprotected bisterminal pentayne **10a** in 88% yield. The “C<sub>8</sub>” precursor **10a** was thus obtained in 10 steps and 34% overall yield as a mixture of *threo/erythro* diastereomers in an undetermined ratio. As the stereochemical information would be deleted in the final aromatization step, the preparative or analytical resolution of **10a** and its derivatives was not attempted.


The “C<sub>10</sub>” precursor **13** was prepared in a convergent manner from the “C<sub>8</sub>” intermediate **10a**. First, the addition of the dimagnesium salt of **10a** to two equivalents of aldehyde **5** gave heptaynediol **11** as a mixture with the monoadduct **12**. The treatment of this mixture with activated  $MnO_2$  afforded diketone **13** in 70% yield over two steps (Scheme 3). Monoketone **14** was also isolated in 15% yield.

The “C<sub>18</sub>” ring was formed by the addition of the dimagnesium salt of pentayne **10a** to diketone **13** under dilute conditions (Scheme 4). The hexaalkynyl hexaoxy[6]pericycylene **15** was expected to form as a mixture of the 20 theoretically possible stereoisomers corresponding to six chiral and eight achiral diastereomers. Separation by chromatography on two columns afforded four samples of the pericycylene **15** in 34% yield. Its topographical structure was


[\*] C. Zou, C. Duhayon, V. Maraval, Prof. R. Chauvin  
Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC) of the CNRS  
205 route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4 (France)  
Fax: (+33) 561-553-003  
E-mail: chauvin@lcc-toulouse.fr

[\*\*] Synthesis of Ring Carbomers, Part VI. This research was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur de la Recherche et de la Technologie (ACI funding). – Parts I–V: refs. [2b, 9a, b, 5a, b].

Supporting information for this article, including synthetic procedures and the characterization of all new compounds, as well as details of the crystal-structure determination of **2b**, is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.



**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of the “C<sub>8</sub>” precursor **10a** (DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide, TES = SiEt<sub>3</sub>, TMS = SiMe<sub>3</sub>).



**Scheme 3.** Preparation of the “C<sub>10</sub>” precursor **13**.


confirmed by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic analysis and HRMS analysis. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic analysis of each fraction indicated the presence of several signals close to one another for both the OCH<sub>3</sub> and the OH groups and thus revealed the variation in the environments of these functional groups in the different stereoisomers. Although, the stereoisomeric purity of the samples could not be ascertained, the hexaalkynyl hexaoxy[6]pericyclyne **15** was obtained in 13 steps and 8% overall yield.

The desilylation of **15** (Scheme 4) gave the hexaethynyl[6]pericyclyne **16**, as confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectroscopic analysis and MS analysis. However, the [6]pericyclynediol **16** decomposes in the solid state even at low temperature and therefore has to be stored in solution. The totally O-methylated dodecayne **2a** could also be prepared from **15**. This more symmetrical pericyclyne has only nine stereoisomers, two of which are enantiomers. The increase in

molecular symmetry results in a simplification of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra relative to the spectra of **15**. The desilylation of **2a** afforded **2b** as a stable white powder, the structure of which was confirmed by NMR and IR spectroscopic analysis and MS analysis. One of the stereoisomers of **2b**, the all-*trans* compound **2b<sub>1</sub>**, cocrystallized with a dichloromethane molecule, and its structure was confirmed by XRD analysis (Figure 1).<sup>[11]</sup> For reference, X-ray crystal structures of permethylated [*n*]pericyclynes, including for the case *n*=6, were

obtained by Scott and co-workers,<sup>[12a,b]</sup> while the X-ray crystal structure of an expanded [6]pericyclyne was obtained by Bunz and co-workers.<sup>[12c]</sup> Previous conformational analyses at the molecular-mechanics (MM)<sup>[12a]</sup> and DFT levels<sup>[3,13]</sup> concluded that [6]pericyclyne can adopt not only the conformations of the parent cyclohexane (chair, boat), but also “twisted-boat” conformations. In the solid state, **2b<sub>1</sub>** was found to adopt a chair (*D*<sub>3d</sub>) conformation. As expected, the ethynyl substituents and the bulkier methoxy substituents lie in axial and equatorial orientations, respectively. The bond angles in the endocyclic C–C=C and ≡C–C–C≡ units correspond to non-constrained C(sp) (178.4° on average) and C(sp<sup>3</sup>) centers (109.9°), respectively. No tendency to bond-length equalization is evidenced: the endocyclic C(sp)–C(sp) and C(sp)–C(sp<sup>3</sup>) distances (1.19 and 1.48 Å, respectively) are “classical”. Thus, the possible, but unlikely, homoaromatic character of **2b** has no influence at the structural level.<sup>[13,14]</sup>





**Scheme 5.** Cobalt-assisted aromatization of **15** to give **1a**, a protected version of **1b**, the total carbomer of benzene.

distinct C(sp) carbon atoms of **1a**. The UV/Vis spectrum also provided evidence of extended delocalization over the carbobenzene ring with characteristic absorptions at 458, 500, and 517 nm. The structure was confirmed finally by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. The attempted desilylation of **1a** with tetrabutylammonium fluoride afforded only insoluble black material. Although the planar C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>6</sub> total carbomer of benzene **1b** is likely to be graphitelike and insoluble, no C≡C–H signal was detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of soluble extracts (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO). The corresponding chemical shift was expected to occur near  $\delta = 4.5$  ppm, as calculated at the B3PW91/6-31 + G\*\* level<sup>[6]</sup> and previously observed for a bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)-carbobenzene.<sup>[5b]</sup> Attempts to obtain **1b** by cobalt-assisted aromatization of the unprotected [6]pericyclyne **2b** were unsuccessful.

In summary, the first hexaalkynyl [6]pericyclyne and carbobenzene have been synthesized. The stereochemical resolution of the hexaethynyl[6]pericyclyne **2b** and its crystal packing open new horizons for the synthesis of novel expanded CNTs. A TES-protected analogue **1a** of the total carbomer of benzene has been prepared by the metal-assisted reductive aromatization of **15**. Although the TES termini ensured high solubility and allowed full spectroscopic characterization of **1a**, other more crystallogenic derivatives, such as a triisopropylsilyl-protected derivative, are the next reasonable targets. Finally, the controlled desilylation of **1a** could lead to the genuine total carbomer of benzene, **1b**, or to novel carbon allotropes derived from **1b**.

Received: December 31, 2006  
Published online: April 30, 2007

**Keywords:** alkynes · aromaticity · carbobenzene · macrocyclization · pericyclynes

- [1] R. Chauvin, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 397–400.
- [2] a) Y. Kuwatani, N. Watanabe, I. Ueda, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 119–122; b) R. Chauvin, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 401–404.
- [3] “Theoretical Studies on Acetylenic Scaffolds”: R. Chauvin, C. Lepetit, *Acetylene Chemistry: Chemistry, Biology and Material Science* (Eds.: F. Diederich, P. J. Stang, R. R. Tykwinski), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2005**, chap. 1, pp. 1–50.
- [4] R. Suzuki, H. Tsukuda, N. Watanabe, Y. Kuwatani, I. Ueda, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, 54, 2477–2496.
- [5] a) C. Saccavini, C. Tedeschi, L. Maurette, C. Sui-Seng, C. Zou, M. Soleilhavoup, L. Vendier, R. Chauvin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, DOI: 10.1002/chem.200601191; b) C. Saccavini, C. Sui-Seng, L. Maurette, C. Lepetit, S. Soula, C. Zou, B. Donnadieu, R. Chauvin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, DOI: 10.1002/chem.200601193 c) V. Maraval, R. Chauvin, *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 106, 5317–5343.
- [6] C. Lepetit, C. Zou, R. Chauvin, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71, 6317–6324.
- [7] H. Sakurai, K. Ebata, C. Kabuto, A. Sekiguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 112, 1799–1803.
- [8] R. Diecks, J. C. Armstrong, R. Boese, K. P. C. Vollhardt, *Angew. Chem.* **1986**, 98, 270–271; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, 25, 268–269.
- [9] a) L. Maurette, C. Godard, S. Frau, C. Lepetit, M. Soleilhavoup, R. Chauvin, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, 7, 1165–1170; b) L. Maurette, C. Tedeschi, E. Sermot, M. Soleilhavoup, F. Hussain, B. Donnadieu, R. Chauvin, *Tetrahedron* **2004**, 60, 10077–10098.
- [10] P. Manini, W. Amrein, V. Gramlich, F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, 114, 4515–4519; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, 41, 4339–4343.
- [11] Crystal data and structure refinement for **2b**<sub>1</sub>: C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, *M*<sub>r</sub> = 637.51 g mol<sup>−1</sup>, crystal dimensions: 0.25 × 0.30 × 0.50 mm<sup>3</sup>, *T* = 180 K, trigonal, space group *R* $\bar{3}$ , *a* = 21.479(5), *b* = 21.479(5), *c* = 6.560(5) Å,  $\alpha = 90^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 90^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 180^\circ$ , *V* = 2621(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 3,  $\rho = 1.21$  g cm<sup>−3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.228$  mm<sup>−1</sup>, 27 634 reflections measured, 1952 unique (*R*(int) = 0.0200),  $\theta_{\max} = 32.20^\circ$ , index ranges:  $-31 \leq h \leq 31$ ,  $-31 \leq k \leq 31$ ,  $-9 \leq l \leq 9$ ,  $\sigma(I)$  limit = 2.00, 70 refined parameters, 747 reflections used (*I* > 2 $\sigma(I)$ ), *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.0720, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.0834,  $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.42$  e Å<sup>−3</sup>,  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.48$  e Å<sup>−3</sup>, GOF = 1.127. CCDC-632362 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).
- [12] a) For *n* = 5, see: K. N. Houk, L. T. Scott, N. G. Rondan, D. C. Spellmeyer, G. Reinhardt, J. L. Hyun, G. J. DeCicco, R. Weiss, M. H. M. Chen, L. S. Bass, J. Clardy, F. S. Jorgensen, T. A. Eaton, V. Sarkozi, C. M. Petit, L. Ng, K. D. Jordan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 6556–6562; b) for *n* = 4, 6, 7, and 8, see: L. T. Scott, private communication; c) M. Brake, V. Enkelmann, U. H. F. Bunz, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 1190–1191.
- [13] C. Lepetit, B. Silvi, R. Chauvin, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2003**, 107, 464–473.

- [14] a) C. Zou, C. Lepetit, R. Chauvin, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2006**, 78, 791–811; b) H. Jiao, N. J. R. v. E. Hommes, P. von R. Schleyer, A. de Meijere, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 2826–2828.
- [15] R. Chauvin, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, 96, 9194–9197.
- [16] The treatment of **2b<sub>1</sub>** under Hay oxidative-coupling conditions afforded a completely insoluble black solid.
- [17] a) K. M. Nicholas, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1987**, 20, 207–214; b) M. J. McGlinchey, L. Girard, R. Ruffolo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 143, 331–381; c) M. J. Went, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, 41, 69–125; d) J. R. Green, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 5, 809–826; e) M. Soleilhavoup, C. Saccavini, C. Lepetit, G. Lavigne, L. Maurette, B. Donnadiou, R. Chauvin, *Organometallics* **2002**, 21, 871–883.
- [18] G. G. Melikyan, S. Bright, T. Monroe, K. I. Hardcastle, J. Ciurash, *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, 110, 170–172; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, 37, 161–164.